

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE * BEFORE THE
(119 Williams Avenue)
Ronald and Wendy Daurora * OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
Petitioners * HEARINGS FOR
* BALTIMORE COUNTY
* **CASE NO. 2013-0059-A**

* * * * *

ORDER AND OPINION

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Ronald and Wendy Daurora. The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to Sections 400.1 and 400.2 to permit an addition to an existing detached accessory structure (garage) to have a rear yard setback of 6 inches and a setback 5 ½ feet from the centerline of an alley in lieu of the required 2 ½ feet and 15 feet, respectively. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on September 16, 2012, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to

indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Although the Office of Planning did not make any recommendations related to the garage height and usage, I will impose conditions that the accessory structure not be converted into a dwelling unit or apartment, not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities, and not be used for commercial purposes.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this **11th** day of October, 2012 by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from Sections 400.1 and 400.2 to permit an addition to an existing detached accessory structure (garage) to have a rear yard setback of 6 inches and a setback 5 ½ feet from the centerline of an alley in lieu of the required 2 ½ feet and 15 feet, respectively, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for their building permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2. The Petitioners or subsequent owners shall not convert the subject accessory structure into a dwelling unit or apartment. The structure shall not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, kitchen or bathroom facilities.
3. The accessory structure shall not be used for commercial purposes.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge for
Baltimore County

JEB:dlw