

**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE**  
NW side of Prince William Court; 235 feet  
NW of Rawling Well Drive  
1<sup>st</sup> Election District  
1<sup>st</sup> Councilmanic District  
**(7 Prince William Court)**

Vishwanath R. and Sulalitha V. Shetty  
*Petitioners*

\* BEFORE THE  
\* DEPUTY ZONING  
\* COMMISSIONER  
\* FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY  
\* **Case No. 2010-0288-A**

\* \* \* \* \*

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

This matter comes before this Deputy Zoning Commissioner as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the subject property, Vishwanath R. and Sulalitha V. Shetty for property located at 7 Prince William Court. The variance request is from Sections 103.1 and 1B02.3.B (Sections 208.2 and 208.3, 1963 Zoning Regulations) of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit a proposed dwelling addition with a front yard setback of 14 feet, a side yard setback of 7 feet, and a sum of side yard setbacks of 18 feet, respectively, in lieu of the minimum required 30 feet, 10 feet and 25 feet, respectively. The subject property and requested relief are more particularly described on Petitioners' Exhibit No. 1. Petitioners' kitchen measures only 10 feet x 12 feet which is too small for the family of five. They wish to enlarge the kitchen by enclosing the existing carport. Petitioners live on a cul-de-sac and the property is an irregular pie shape. None of the neighbors expressed any opposition to the proposed addition.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. The comments indicate no opposition or other recommendations concerning the requested relief.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on May 2, 2010 and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010 that a variance from Sections 103.1 and 1B02.3.B (Sections 208.2 and 208.3, 1963 Zoning Regulations) of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to permit a proposed dwelling addition with a front yard setback of 14 feet, a side yard setback of 7 feet, and a sum of side yard setbacks of 18 feet, respectively, in lieu of the minimum required 30 feet, 10 feet and 25 feet, respectively is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following:

1. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNED  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THOMAS H. BOSTWICK  
Deputy Zoning Commissioner  
for Baltimore County

THB:pz