

December 16, 2015

Mr. Brian McKinley  
Baltimore County Property Management  
12200 A Long Green Pike  
Glen Arm, Maryland 21057

Re: Kingsville Park  
Forest Conservation Variance  
Tracking # 05-15-2107

Dear Mr. McKinley:

A request for a variance from the Baltimore County Code Article 33, Title 6 Forest Conservation was received by this Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability (EPS) on November 10, 2015. This request proposes to base afforestation calculations on the 2.0 acre limits of disturbance rather than the entire 174 acre site for construction of sports fields drainage improvements and ADA compliant stairways and ramps at Kingsville Park.

The Director of EPS may grant a special variance to the Forest Conservation Law in accordance with criteria outlined in Section 33-6-116(d)(1) of the Code. There are six (6) criteria listed in Subsection 33-6-116(d) and (e) that shall be used to evaluate the variance request. One (1) of the criteria under Subsection 33-6-116(d) must be met, and all three (3) of the criteria under Subsection 33-6-116(e) must be met, in order to approve the variance.

The first criterion (Subsection 33-6-116(d)(1) of the Code) requires the petitioner show the land in question cannot yield a reasonable return if the requirement from which the special variance is requested is imposed and will deprive the petitioner of beneficial use of his property. The applicant is seeking to construct improvements to drainage and accessibility at an existing County park. Proposed disturbance of existing forest is will be 293 square feet. Full application of the law to the entire property would not result in unwarranted hardship to the applicant, and we find that this criterion has not been met.

Mr. Brian McKinley  
Kingsville Park  
Forest Conservation Variance  
December 16, 2015  
Page 2

The second criterion (Subsection 33-6-116 (d)(2) of the Code) requires that the petitioner show that his/her plight is due to unique circumstances and not the general conditions of the neighborhood. The site contains existing sports fields, some at the bottom of stairways. Minimal clearing of the existing forest is proposed. The neighborhood is already developed and therefore the circumstances here are unique and not related to the conditions of the neighborhood. Therefore, we find the second criterion has been met.

The third criterion (Subsection 33-6-116(d)(3) of the Code) requires that the petitioner show that the special variance requested will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood. The property is surrounded by residential development and is currently used as a community park. The proposal to improve field drainage and add handicap accessible ramps and stairways will not change the character of the neighborhood. Therefore, we find that this criterion has been met.

The fourth criterion (Subsection 33-6-116(e)(1) of the Code) requires that the granting of the special variance will not adversely affect water quality. All sediment and erosion control techniques will be met during and after construction, and bioretention facilities will be constructed. Therefore, we find that granting of the special variance will not adversely affect water quality, and that this criterion has been met.

The fifth criterion (Subsection 33-6-116(e)(2) of the Code) requires that the special variance request does not arise from a condition or circumstance that is the result of actions taken by the petitioner. The petitioner has not started construction and is seeking all permits and approvals. Therefore, this criterion has been met.

The sixth criterion (Subsection 33-6-116(e)(3) of the Code) requires that the Director of EPS find that the special variance, as granted, would be consistent with the spirit and intent of Article 33 of the Baltimore County Code. Allowing the construction of drainage and accessibility improvements to an existing County park is consistent. Therefore, this criterion has been met.

Based on our review, this Department finds that all of the required criteria have been met. Therefore, the variance request is hereby approved, in accordance with Section 33-6-116 of the Baltimore County Code.

This variance approval does not exempt future redevelopment of this site from complying with Baltimore County's Forest Conservation Law. It is the intent of this Department to approve this variance. Any changes to site layout may require submittal of revised plans and an amended variance request.

Mr. Brian McKinley  
Kingsville Park  
Forest Conservation Variance  
December 16, 2015  
Page 3

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please call Ms. Regina Esslinger at (410) 887-3980.

Sincerely yours,

Vincent J. Gardina  
Director

VJG/rae

c: Andrea Romano, A Morton Thomas

Kingsville Park FCV-A 12.16.15/FCVA/rae/shreir