IN THE MATTER OF

JOSEPH AND PATRICIA SHANAHAN
- LEGAL OWNERS /PETITIONER FOR
SPECIAL HEARING; S/S GLENWOOD RD.,
541 FT. S/W OF THE C/L OF OAKDEAN RD.
(3505 GLENWOOD AVENUE)
2ND ELECTION DISTRICT
4TH COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT

* BEFORE THE

* BOARD OF APPEALS

* OF

* BALTIMORE COUNTY

* CASE NO.: 10-309-SPH

AMENDED ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This matter comes to the Board of Appeals by way of an appeal filed by Peter Max Zimmerman, People's Counsel for Baltimore County, from a decision of the Zoning Commissioner dated June 29, 2010 in which the requested Petition for Special Hearing was granted.

WHEREAS, the Board is in receipt of a voluntary letter of withdrawal of the Petition for Special Hearing, filed December 22, 2010 and signed by David H., Karceski, Counsel for Joseph and Patricia Shanahan, Petitioners, (a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof); and

WHEREAS, said Counsel for Petitioners requests that the Petition for Special Hearing taken in this matter be withdrawn as of December 22, 2010,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED this 12 day of 11 by the Board of Appeals of Baltimore County that the Petition for Special Hearing taken in Case No. 10-309-SPH be and the same is hereby **DISMISSED without prejudice**, thereby rendering the June 29, 2010 Order of the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as null and void in this matter.

BOARD OF APPEALS
OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

Lawrence S. Wescott, Chairman

Maureen E. Murphy

Robert W. Witt

December 20, 2010

David H. Karceski

T 410.494.6285 F 410.821.0147 DHKarceski@Venable.com

Ms. Theresa Shelton Administrator County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County Jefferson Building 2nd Floor, Suite 203 105 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 21204

Re:

Case No.: 10-309SPH

Property: 3505 Glenwood Road

15th ED; 6th CD

Dear Ms. Shelton:

Please be advised that I represent the Appellees in this matter. On their behalf, please accept this letter of my client's intent to withdraw the petition for special hearing filed in the instant matter.

Therefore, the appeal, which is now scheduled for January 12, 2011, should be dismissed as moot. This is without prejudice to the right of my clients or subsequent owners of the property to seek approvals in the future. My client understands and acknowledges that the decision of the Zoning Commissioner, from which this appeal was taken, is now moot.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

David H. Karceski

cc: Peter Max Zimmerman, Esquire

RECEIVED DEC 2 2 2010

BALTIMORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS